

## Facilitate™ – efficient, accurate and predictable

Astra Tech Facilitate™ Computer Guided Implant Treatment is based on SimPlant™ software from Materialise™ and was launched in October 2006. Facilitate Software is an efficient, high-precision, three-dimensional, computer-based diagnostic tool for the accurate planning and performance of precise, predictive and safe implant placement<sup>1-9</sup>. Facilitate enhances the planning of implant placement by interactive and virtual guiding. The selection of implants and abutments can be optimized with regards to the patient's osseous anatomy. Facilitate is specially developed for the Astra Tech Implant System™, however, also including an internal "library" of virtual implants for all major implant manufacturers. Facilitate Surgical Guide is fabricated at the supportive level of choice (i.e. bone, mucosa or tooth) for directed drilling and precise implant installation in 3 dimensions<sup>6,10-12</sup>.

There is a growing amount of clinical documentation on the use of 3D visualizations and surgical guides involved in dental implant planning and treatment<sup>5, 13-16</sup>. Benefits of computer guided implant treatment documented in the scientific literature include the possibility to make bone volume calculations<sup>3, 17</sup>, measure bone densities<sup>3, 18-21</sup>, identify vital structures<sup>3, 22</sup>, predict initial implant stability<sup>23</sup> and predictably perform flapless implant surgery<sup>24</sup>. Further documented advantages are the enhanced interactive communication with the prosthodontist<sup>10, 25</sup>, enabling optimized biomechanical design of the superstructure. And, widened treatment options by means of ability to treat patients that previously could not be restored with implants due to the inability to accurately find and utilize the limited bone available<sup>25, 26</sup>. Facilitate is also an efficient tool for patient communication and treatment plan presentation. Costs may be lowered when chair time is shortened, in particular when immediate loading is applied<sup>27, 28</sup> and the implant stock volume at the clinic may be minimized. Patient safety can be increased since highly precise and predictable outcomes are achieved when using high accuracy stereolithographic surgical guides<sup>6, 7, 11, 29-31</sup> compared with implant placement using traditional guides<sup>12</sup>.

1. Chow J, Hui E, Lee PK, Li W. Zygomatic implants-protocol for immediate occlusal loading: a preliminary report. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2006;64(5):804-11. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
2. Ganz SD. CT-derived model-based surgery for immediate loading of maxillary anterior implants. *Pract Proced Aesthet Dent* 2007;19(5):311-8; quiz 20, 02. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
3. Rodriguez A, Anastassov GE, Lee H, Buchbinder D, Wettan H. Maxillary sinus augmentation with deproteinated bovine bone and platelet rich plasma with simultaneous insertion of endosseous implants. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003;61(2):157-63. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
4. Rosenfeld AL, Mandelaris GA, Tardieu PB. Prosthetically directed implant placement using computer software to ensure precise placement and predictable prosthetic outcomes. Part 1: diagnostics, imaging, and collaborative accountability. *Int J Periodontics Rest Dent* 2006;26(3):215-21. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
5. Tardieu PB, Vrielinck L, Escolano E, Henne M, Tardieu AL. Computer-assisted implant placement: scan template, simplant, surgiguide, and SAFE system. *Int J Periodontics Rest Dent* 2007;27(2):141-9. (ID No. 79033) [Abstract in PubMed](#)
6. Van de Velde T, Glor F, De Bruyn H. A model study on flapless implant placement by clinicians with a different experience level in implant surgery. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2008;19(1):66-72. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
7. Park C, Raigrodski AJ, Rosen J, Spiekerman C, London RM. Accuracy of implant placement using precision surgical guides with varying occluso-gingival heights: an in vitro study. *J Prosthet Dent* 2009;101(6):372-81. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
8. Rupp J, Popovic A, Strauss M, Spuntrup E, Steiner A, Stoll C. Evaluation of the accuracy of three different computer-aided surgery systems in dental implantology: optical tracking vs. stereolithographic splint systems. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2008;19(7):709-16. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
9. Valente F, Schirolli G, Sbrenna A. Accuracy of computer-aided oral implant surgery: a clinical and radiographic study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2009;24(2):234-42. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
10. Rosenfeld AL, Mandelaris GA, Tardieu PB. Prosthetically directed implant placement using computer software to ensure precise placement and predictable prosthetic outcomes. Part 3: stereolithographic drilling guides that do not require bone exposure and the immediate delivery of teeth. *Int J Periodontics Rest Dent* 2006;26(5):493-9. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
11. Rosenfeld AL, Mandelaris GA, Tardieu PB. Prosthetically directed implant placement using computer software to ensure precise placement and predictable prosthetic outcomes. Part 2: rapid-prototype medical modeling and stereolithographic drilling guides requiring bone exposure. *Int J Periodontics Rest Dent* 2006;26(4):347-53. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
12. Sarment DP, Sukovic P, Clinthorne N. Accuracy of implant placement with a stereolithographic surgical guide. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2003;18(4):571-7. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
13. Lemmerman KJ, Lemmerman NE. Osseointegrated dental implants in private practice: a long-term case series study. *J Periodontol* 2005;76(2):310-9. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
14. Mischkowski RA, Zinser MJ, Neugebauer J, Kubler AC, Zoller JE. Comparison of static and dynamic computer-assisted guidance methods in implantology. *Int J Comput Dent* 2006;9(1):23-35. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
15. Widmann G, Bale RJ. Accuracy in computer-aided implant surgery—a review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2006;21(2):305-13. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
16. Nikzad S, Azari A. A novel stereolithographic surgical guide template for planning treatment involving a mandibular dental implant. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008;66(7):1446-54. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
17. Bianchi J, Fiorellini JP, Howell TH, Sekler J, Curtin H, Nevins ML, et al. Measuring the efficacy of rhBMP-2 to regenerate bone: a radiographic study using a commercially available software program. *Int J Periodontics Rest Dent* 2004;24(6):579-87. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
18. Norton MR, Gamble C. Bone classification: an objective scale of bone density using the computerized tomography scan. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2001;12(1):79-84. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
19. Schultze-Mosgau S, Keweloh M, Wiltfang J, Kessler P, Neukam FW. Histomorphometric and densitometric changes in bone volume and structure after avascular bone grafting in the extremely atrophic maxilla. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2001;39(6):439-47. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
20. Stoppie N, Pattijn V, Van Cleynenbreugel T, Wevers M, Vander Sloten J, Ignace N. Structural and radiological parameters for the characterization of jawbone. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2006;17(2):124-33. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
21. Pietz WM, Burton R, Kl F, Stanford C, Schneider R. Bone quality and surgeon's assessment during maxillary implant placement: outcomes 2 years following prosthesis insertion. *J Oral Maxillofac Surgery* 2003;8(Suppl 1):72-73.
22. Mandelaris GA, Rosenfeld AL. A novel approach to the antral sinus bone graft technique: the use of a prototype cutting guide for precise outlining of the lateral wall. A case report. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2008;28(6):569-75. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
23. Ikumi N, Tsutsumi S. Assessment of correlation between computerized tomography values of the bone and cutting torque values at implant placement: a clinical study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2005;20(2):253-60. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
24. Azari A, Nikzad S. Flapless implant surgery: review of the literature and report of 2 cases with computer-guided surgical approach. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008;66(5):1015-21. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
25. Jacobs R. Preoperative radiologic planning of implant surgery in compromised patients. *Periodontol* 2000 2003;33:12-25. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
26. Ganz SD. Defining new paradigms for assessment of implant receptor sites. The use of CT/CBCT and interactive virtual treatment planning for congenitally missing lateral incisors. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* 2008;29(5):256-8, 60-2, 64-7; quiz 68, 78. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
27. Ganz SD. Use of stereolithographic models as diagnostic and restorative aids for predictable immediate loading of implants. *Pract Proced Aesthet Dent* 2003;15(10):763-71; quiz 72. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
28. Parel SM, Triplett RG. Interactive imaging for implant planning, placement, and prosthesis construction. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2004;62(9 Suppl 2):41-7. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
29. Ganz SD. Presurgical planning with CT-derived fabrication of surgical guides. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2005;63(9 Suppl 2):59-71. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
30. Vrielinck L, Politis C, Schepers S, Pauwels M, Naert I. Image-based planning and clinical validation of zygoma and pterygoid implant placement in patients with severe bone atrophy using customized drill guides. Preliminary results from a prospective clinical follow-up study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003;32(1):7-14. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
31. Al-Harbi SA, Sun AY. Implant placement accuracy when using stereolithographic template as a surgical guide: preliminary results. *Implant Dent* 2009;18(1):46-56. [Abstract in PubMed](#)